

Office of the Commissioner, State Tax, Uttar Pradesh (GST Section)

Letter No. GST/2024-25/ (D3 /State Tax Lucknow: Dated: D8 November, 2024

To,

All Zonal Additional Commissioner, Additional Commissioner Grade -2 (S.I.B.) Joint Commissioner (Executive/Corporate Circle/ S.I.B) State Tax, Uttar Pradesh.

Subject: Clarification on various issues pertaining to taxability and valuation of supply of services of providing corporate guarantee between related persons.

- 1.1 As per the recommendations of the GST Council, sub-rule (2) was inserted in Rule 28 of Uttar Pradesh Goods and Services Tax Rules, 2017 (hereinafter referred to as the "UPGST Rules") vide Notification No. 207/XI-2-24-9(42)/17-T.C.69-U.P.GST Rules-2017-Order-(315)-2024 Dated: February 27, 2024 (w.e.f. 26.10.2023) to provide for a specific clause for valuation of supply of services of providing corporate guarantee to any banking company or financial institution by an entity on behalf of a related person. Besides, Circular No. 2425023 dated 16.07.2023 was also issued as per the recommendations of the GST Council, to provide clarity regarding the applicability of the said sub-rule. Subsequently, based on the recommendations of the GST Council, sub-rule (2) of Rule 28 of UPGST Rules has been amended retrospectively with effect from 26.10.2023 vide notification No.721 dated 26.10.2024.
- 1.2 In this regard, various representations have been received from trade and industry, seeking clarifications on various issues pertaining to the taxability and valuation of the supply of services of providing corporate guarantee between related persons as per the said rule.
- 2. Therefore, in order to ensure uniformity in the implementation of the provisions of law across the field formations, the Commissioner, in exercise of its powers conferred by section 168 of the Uttar Pradesh Goods and Services Tax Act, 2017 (hereinafter referred to as "UPGST Act"), hereby clarifies the issues as under:

S. No.		Clarification
1	Whether sub-rule (2) of rule 28	It is to be clarified that the supply of service of
	of UPGST Rules will apply to	providing corporate guarantee to any banking company
	the corporate guarantees issued	or financial institution by a supplier to a related
	prior to insertion of the said	recipient, on behalf of the said recipient, was taxable

sub-rule on 26th October 2023? Also, where intra-group corporate guarantees have been issued before 26th October 2023, which are still in force today, would they be liable to pay GST on "1% of the amount of such guarantee offered" on such guarantees?

even before the insertion of sub-rule (2) in rule 28 of UPGST Rules with effect from 26th October 2023. Rule 28(2) of UPGST Rules is only for determination of the value of the taxable supply of providing corporate guarantee to any banking company or financial institution by a supplier to a related recipient, on behalf of the said recipient and not regarding the taxability of the said supply itself. Prior to the insertion of the said sub-rule, i.e., before 26th October 2023, the valuation of service of providing corporate guarantee to any banking company or financial institution by a supplier to a related recipient, on behalf of the said recipient, was to be done as per the provisions of Rule 28 of UPGST Rules, as it existed then.

Therefore, in respect of supply of services of providing corporate guarantee between related persons, in respect of corporate guarantee issued or renewed before 26th October 2023, the valuation of the said supply is to be done in accordance with Rule 28, as it existed during that time. However, if the corporate guarantee is issued or renewed on or after 26th October 2023, then the valuation of the said supply will be required to be done as per Rule 28(2) of UPGST Rules.

The activity of supply of the service of providing a corporate guarantee is not linked with the actual disbursal of the loan. The service that is provided by the guarantor to the guarantee is that of taking on the risk of default. Therefore, it is clarified that the value of supply of the service of providing a corporate guarantee will be calculated based on the amount guaranteed and will not be based on the amount of loan actually disbursed to the recipient of the corporate guarantee.

Further, it is also clarified that the recipient of the service of providing corporate guarantee shall be eligible to avail the ITC, subject to other conditions specified in the Act and the Rules made thereunder, irrespective of when the loan is actually disbursed to the recipient, and irrespective of the amount of loan actually disbursed.

In the service of providing corporate guarantee to any banking company or financial institution by a supplier to a related recipient, on behalf of the said recipient, the supplier of the service is the corporate entity providing the corporate guarantee and the recipient is the related entity for whom the corporate guarantee is provided by the said supplier.

Therefore, if the loan issued by the banking

In cases where the corporate guarantee is provided for a particular amount, whereas the loan is only partly availed or not availed at all by the recipient, what will be the value of supply of corporate guarantee. Also, whether the recipient would be eligible to avail full ITC (Input Tax Credit) even before total loan is disbursed?

In the case of takeover of existing loans, since there is merely an assignment of an already issued corporate guarantee, whether GST would be applicable again?

		company/ financial institution is taken over by another banking company/ financial institution.
		banking company/ financial institution, the said activity of taking over of the loan door metal activity
		of taking over of the loan does not fall under the
		service of providing corporate guarantee to any
		- statice of field composite angrostes - 4 .
		Toucwar of the existing cornorate great
		in the takeover of the loan is followed/ good to the
		issuance of fresh corporate guarantee, then GST would
4	W	
4	Where corporate guarantee is	In Sagar where com
	provided by more than one	provided by multiple related artists of
	entity / co-guarantor, what is	Services of providing corporate guarant
	the amount on which GST is	sum of the actual consideration paid/ payable to co-
	payable by each co-guarantor?	guarantors, if the said amount of total consideration is
	S	higher than one per cent of the amount of such
		guarantee offered in cases where the
	±60 0 100 00 00	guarantee offered. In cases where the sum of the actual
1	er 1800-AO No 1	consideration is less than one per cent of the amount of
		such guarantee offered, then GST shall be payable by
	BLAT 2	each co-guarantor proportionately on one per cent of
	IN THE PARTY OF TH	the amount guaranteed by them.
	Allega professional and a second	
	t view dispersion of the sign	For instance, if there are two co-guarantors, A
		and B, who jointly provide a corporate guarantee to a
	2 M 3EH 25 A 3 5 4 7 1	banking/ financial institution on behalf a related
	Many and	recipient C for Rs. 1 crore, then A and B shall each pay
	WA DO A TO SEE	GST on 0.5% of the amount guaranteed.
		Summer of the state of the stat
	1 25 75 0 0 0	However, if in the above case of A and B
	A per tip	providing cornerate quarantee jointly to a banking/
	marine allet discusse	financial institution on behalf a related recipient C for
		interior institution on behalf a felated recipient & for
	<u> </u>	Rs 1 crore, A provides guarantee for 60% of the
		guarantee amount and B provides guarantee for the
		remaining 40% of the guaranteed amount, then GST
	The state of the s	shall be payable by A and B proportionately i.e., 0.6%
	7 72 5	and 0.4% of the amount guaranteed. This is to say that
		A shall pay GST on 1% of the amount guaranteed by
	- P - 10-1	A, i.e., 1% on Rs. 60 lakhs and B shall pay GST on 1%
	[m] ——]	of the amount guaranteed by B, i.e., 1% on Rs. 40
		lakhs.
5	Where intra-group corporate	It is clarified that in cases where domestic corporates
5	_ , ,	iggue intra-group guarantees. GCT is to be paid under
	guarantee is issued, whether	issue intra-group guarantees, GST is to be paid under
	GST may be paid by the	forward charge mechanism, and invoice is to be issued
	recipient under reverse charge,	by the supplier of the service of providing corporate
	as in the absence of actual	guarantee to the related recipient under Section 31 of
	invoice and payment, the	LIPGST Act. 2017 read along with the relevant rules.
	recipient entity may not be able	However in cases where such guarantee is
	to claim input tax credit of tax	provided by the foreign/ overseas entity for a related
	paid by the domestic	entity located in India, then GST would be payable
	<u> </u>	Wilder to the control of the control

	guarantor?	under reverse charge mechanism, by the recipient service, i.e., the related entity located in India.
6	Whether the discharge of tax liability on corporate guarantee @ 1% of such guarantee offered is to be done one time or on yearly basis or on monthly basis and when issued for a fixed term of say, five years or ten years as per tenure of the loan?	retrospectively with effect from 26 th October 202 vide notification No. 721 dt 26.10.2024.
		Accordingly, the value of supply of the service of providing corporate guarantee to a banking company or a financial institution on behalf of a related recipient for a particular number of years shall be one per cent of the amount of such guarantee offered multiplied by the number of years for which the said guarantee is offered or the actual consideration whichever is higher.
		In addition to the above, in cases where the corporate guarantee is provided for a period less than a year, say 6 months (half a year), then in those cases as well, the valuation may be done on proportionate basis for the said period, i.e., in this case, the value of the said supply of services may be taken as half of one per cent of the amount of such guarantee offered (6/12 * one per cent), or the actual consideration, whichever is higher.
		To illustrate the same, if a corporate guarantee is issued for a period of say five years, then the value of such guarantee is to be calculated at one per cent per year of the amount of such guarantee offered, or the actual consideration, whichever is higher, i.e., the value of such corporate guarantee provided would be 5% of the amount guaranteed or the actual consideration, whichever is higher. Therefore, GST would be payable on such amount at the time of issuance of such corporate guarantee, i.e., 5% of the amount guaranteed or the actual consideration, whichever is higher.
		However, if a corporate guarantee is issued, say for a period of one year and is renewed five times, for a period of one year each, then tax would be payable on one per cent of the amount of such guarantee offered, or the actual consideration, whichever is higher, on the issue of such corporate guarantee in the first year as well as on every renewal in subsequent years.

Whether the benefit of second proviso to sub-rule (1), which states that value declared in invoice is deemed to be the open market value in cases where full input tax credit is available to the recipient of services, is not applicable in cases falling under sub-rule (2)?

Proviso has been inserted in sub-rule (2) of Rule 28 of UPGST Rules, retrospectively with effect from 26th October 2023 vide notification No. 721 dt 26.10.2024, similar to that provided in the second proviso to sub-rule (1) of Rule 28 of UPGST Rules, to provide the benefit in cases involving supply of service of corporate guarantees provided between related persons.

Accordingly, it is clarified that in cases involving the supply of service of corporate guarantees provided between related persons, where full input tax credit is available to the recipient of services, the value declared in the invoice shall be deemed to be the value of supply of the said service.

Whether the valuation in terms service of providing corporate guarantee between persons?

As per the amendment done in sub-rule (2) of rule 28 of of Rule 28(2) of UPGST Rules | UPGST Rules retrospectively w.e.f. 26th October 2023 will apply to the export of the vide notification No. 721 dt 26.10.2024, the provisions of the said sub-rule will not apply in cases where the related recipient of the services of providing corporate guarantee between related persons is located outside India. Accordingly, the provisions of the said sub-rule shall not apply to the export of the services of providing corporate guarantee between related persons.

- This circular is being issued in pursuance of CBIC's Circular No 225/19/2024 dt 3. 11.07.2024
- It is requested that suitable trade notices may be issued to publicize the contents of 4. this Circular.
- Difficulty if any, in the implementation of this circular, may be brought to the 5. notice of the Undersigned.

By Order,

(Dr. Nitin Bansal)

Commissioner, State Tax, U.P.

Copy To:

1. Joint Commissioner (I.T.), State Tax HQ, Lucknow for uploading the Circular on the Departmental Website.

> Joint Commissioner(GST) State Tax HQ, Lucknow.